

ISSN: 2054 - 7404

Volume 7, Issue 9 - Sep 2019



ARCHIVES OF BUSINESS RESEARCH



Society for Science and Education - United Kingdom

Archives of Business Research

Volume 7, Issue 9 - Sep - 2019

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.14738/abr.79.2019>

PUBLISHED: 2019-09-10

ARTICLES

- **A High Performing Group: Relationship between Group Cohesiveness and Work Performance**
Conceptual Study

Reezlin Rahman, Najmiah Abdullah, Zurina Awang

1-4

- [PDF](#)

- **Branch Leadership Optimization Performance in Improving The Performance of Government Banks In West Java**

Azhari Aziz Samudra , Veithzal Rival Zainal, Eryus Amran Koto , Aziz Hakim, Ainun Nazriah, Iwan Kurniawan Subagja

5-18

- [PDF](#)

- **The role of working memory in sentence processing in normal reading population. A review of related literature.**

Grammenou Anastasia

19-26

- [PDF](#)

- **The Impact of Economic Policy Reforms on Labour and the Nigerian Civil Society: A Stakeholders' Dialectics**

Sola Fajana

27-44

- [PDF](#)

- **Building Of Spirit Of Islamic Entrepreneurship On The Indonesia Young Generation**

Pribanus Wantara, S. Anugrahini Irawati

45-51

- [PDF](#)
- **An exploratory investigation of investments and economic development in Saudi Arabia**

AbdulHafiz Henry James Jones

52-63

- [PDF](#)
- **The The Impact of Organization culture and Work Environment on Employee Engagement and It's Implication on Employee Performance of The Automotive Industry In Jakarta, Indonesia.**

Ganyang Machmed Tun Ganyang

64-70

- [PDF](#)
- **Marketing: The Evolution of Digital Marketing**

Edmir Kuazaqui, Teresinha Covas Lisboa

89-96

- [PDF](#)
- **Savings and investment equality does not prevail according to Keynesian definitions**

Tamás Bánfi

97-105

- [PDF](#)
- **Titi INFLUENCES OF BRAND EXPERIENCE, BRAND TRUST, AND BRAND LOVE TOWARD PURCHASE INTENTION BY WORD OF MOUTH AND BRAND LOYALTY AS INTERVENING VARIABLES IN FASHION BRANDED IN EAST SURABAYA**

Titi Murtiningsih Sukarman

106-120

- [PDF](#)
- **The Motivation Based on Customer Interaction** Are Automotive Product Development Engineers at a Disadvantage?

Muhammad S Ahmed, John Weisgerber

71-88

- [PDF](#)
- **Training and Development and its Impact on Employees Performance and Productivity "A case Study of district Anantnag Private Sector companies located in the District Anantnag Jammu and Kashmir**

Ajaz Ahmad Bhat

121-133

- [PDF](#)
- **Testing for Weak-Form Market Efficiency in the Botswana Stock Market**

Mphoeng Mphoeng

134-140

○ [PDF](#)

- **Implementing Monetary Policy after the 2008 Financial Crisis**

Ioannis N. Kallianiotis

141-172

○ [PDF](#)

- **Strengthening civil society organizations:**models review toward an evaluation proposal

María del Carmen Gutiérrez-Diez, José Gerardo Reyes López

173-184

○ [PDF](#)

- **intellectual quotient, spiritual THE EFFECT OF INTELLECTUAL QUOTIENT, SPIRITUAL QUOTIENT, INDIVIDUAL CHARACTERISTICS AND ACHIEVEMENT MOTIVATION TOWARD COMPETENCE, ORGANIZATIONAL COMMITMENTS AND LECTURERS' DEVELOPMENT (STUDY AT ISLAMIC UNIVERSITIES IN EAST JAVA)**Human Resource Management

Rizal Nur Irawan

185-191

○ [PDF](#)

- **The INFLUENCE OF JOB INSECURITY , WORK MOTIVATION, ORGANIZATIONAL COMMITMENTS AND WORK SATISFACTION AS INTERVENING VARIABLES TO EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE**Case Study of PT Semar Beton Perkasa, Tuban Regency

Novi Lailatun Nikmah Drs. H. Dasuki. M.Pd

192-202

○ [PDF](#)

- **SUSTAINABILITY Of AGRICULTURE: THE NEOLITHIC DILEMMA**

Dave Flynn

203-220

- [PDF](#)
- **Integration Rice Price And Farmers Welfare With Error Correction Model Analysis**

Yogi Makbul

221-228

- [PDF](#)
- **An Examination of Corporate Performance and Altman Z-Scores of Indian Manufacturing Firms**

Foo See Liang, Shaakalya Pathak

229-235

- [PDF](#)
- **Studying the Relationship between Japanese Firms' Corporate Health and Results**

Foo See Liang , Shaakalya Pathak

236-242

- [PDF](#)
- **LOCAL WISDOM: LOCAL RICE EXCELLENCE FROM CREATIVITY OF FARMER BREEDERS**(Case Study of Indramayu Regency, West Java)

Lilis Imamah Ichdayati

243-254

- [PDF](#)

- **The Discussion on Mediation of Innovation Capital toward Human Capital and PerformanceA**
Case Study of the Industry of Integrated Circuit Design

Yao-Hung Yang, Wen-Tsung Huang

255-267

- **PDF**
- **Women's Micro-Enterprises: Factors Influencing Business Performance**

Ainon Ramli, Zeity Liziana Razali

Editor(s) in Chief

Dr. Stephen Pollard, California State University, United States

Editorial Advisory Board

Dr. Lynsey Melville, Birmingham City University, United Kingdom

Dr. Ari Warokka, North Sumatera University, Indonesia

Dr. Ikechukwu Kelikume, Swiss University Of Economics, Swaziland

Dr. T. C. Shamna, Central University Of Kerala, India

Dr. Andrew Manikas, Michigan State University, United States

Dr. Rahul Ravi, Concordia University, Canada

Dr. Renato Balbontin, Columbia University, United States

Dr. Philipp Sandner, Technische Universitat Munchen, Germany

Dr. Chekfoung Tan, University of Reading, United Kingdom

Dr. Evandro Bocatto, Macewan School Of Business, Canada

Dr. Marco Sorrentino, Institutions University Of Naples, Italy

Dr. Nnaemeka N. Obasi, University Of The West Of Scotland, United Kingdom

Dr. Iskandar Muda, Universitas Sumatera Utara, Indonesia

Dr. William Byrne, Birmingham City University, United Kingdom

Dr. Kofi Adjei Frimpong, Lincoln University, New Zealand

Dr. Sunil Kumar, Central University Of Himachal Pradesh, India

Dr. Carlos Moslares, Florida International University, United States

Dr. Isaiah Oino, University Of East London, United Kingdom

Dr. Joseph Leone, University Of Connecticut, United States

Dr. Chris Rigby, Middlesex University Business School, United Kingdom

Dr. M TICKLE, University of Liverpool, United Kingdom

Dr. Scott E Bryant, Montana State University, United States

Dr. Halil D. Kaya, Northeastern State University, United States

Dr. Joe Holland, United States

Dr. Kim Love Myers, University Of Georgia, United States

Dr. RabiU Abdullahi, Universiti Sultan Zainal Abidin, Malaysia

Dr. Riti Joshua Sunday, Huazhong University Of Science And Technology, China

Dr. Ronald A. Ratti, University Of Western Sydney, Australia

Dr. Eunice Tamoh Anu, University Of Wales, United Kingdom

Dr. Michael Godfrey, Northern Illinois University, United States

Dr. Hafezali Iqbal Hussain, University Of Hull, United Kingdom

Dr. Jason West, Griffith Business School, Australia

Dr. Marco Fazzini, European University Of Rome, Italy

Dr. Connie R. Bateman, University Of North Dakota, United States

Dr. Ernesto Escobedo, University Of Phoenix, United States

Dr. Nooraida Yakob, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia

Dr. Yapatake Kossele, Huazhong University Of Science And Technology, China

Dr. Mohamed M. Mostafa, University Of Manchester, United Kingdom

Dr. Jocelyne Abraham, Universite Francois Rabelais, France

Dr. Jean Yves Saulquin, France Business School, France

Dr. Robert Frankel, Michigan State University, United States

Dr. Scott R. Swanson, University Of Kentucky, United States

Dr. Salami Doyin, University Of London, United Kingdom

Dr. Sanetake Nagayoshi, Tokyo Institute Of Technology, Japan

Dr. RICHARD KENNON, The University of Manchester, United Kingdom

Dr. Stephane Renaud, Universite De Montreal, France

Dr. Tahir Abdulrahman Abubakar, Universiti Sultan Zainal Abidin, Malaysia

Dr. Victor G. Alfaro Garcia, University Of Barcelona, Spain

Dr. Chandana Sanyal, Middlesex University Business School, United Kingdom

Dr. Robinah Namuleme, University of Sheffield, United Kingdom

Dr. Angela Coscarelli, University Of Calabria, Italy

Dr. Alexandru Stancu, University Of Geneva, Swaziland

Dr. Alexander Nagel, Technische Universitat Munchen, Germany

Dr. Akira Otsuki, Tokyo Institute Of Technology, Japan

Dr. Adrienne Steffen, Hochschule Fur Internationales Management, Germany

Dr. Abdelmoneim Youssef, University Of Rome, Italy

Dr. Russell E. Triplett, University Of North Florida, United States

Dr. Ling T. He, University Of Central Arkansas, United States

Dr. M. Todd Royle, Florida State University, United States

Dr. Bernard Morard, University Of Geneva, Swaziland

Dr. David Strupeck, Indiana University Northwest, Thailand

Dr. Vipin Nadda, University Of Sunderland, United Kingdom

Dr. Anna Svirina, Kazan National Research Technical University, Russian Federation

Dr. David P Stevens, University of Louisiana, United States

Dr. Riffat Faizan, Open University Of Switzerland, Swaziland

Dr. Stephen Dearden, Manchester Metropolitan University, United Kingdom

Dr. Daniel Ospina, New Military University Granada, Canada

Dr. Krzysztof Kluza, Columbia University In New York, United States

Dr. Nataliya Yassinski, California State University, United States

Dr. William Nelson, Indiana University Northwest, United States

Dr. Sean Andre, York College of Pennsylvania, United States

Dr. Godfred Adjapong Afrifa, The Business School North Holmes Road, United Kingdom

Dr. Jing Jing Liu, Shanghai University Of Engineering Science, China

Dr. Valentina Della Corte, University of Naples., Italy

Prof. Colin C. Willaims, University of Sheffield., United Kingdom

Dr. Stephen Arthur Lemay, Mississippi State University, United States

Prof. Eddie John Fisher, Univerzitat Palackeho, Olomouc, Czech Republic and Universidad de Oriente, Santiago de Cuba., United Kingdom

Dr. Bruna Ecchia, University of Naples, Italy

Dr. Vahidhossein Khiabani, North Dakota State University, United States

Stefano De Falco, University of Naples Federico II, Italy

Dr. M. Ishtiaq Ishaq, Department of Economics & Management "M. Fanno", University of Padova., Italy

AUTHOR CENTER

[ABOUT THE JOURNAL](#)

[CURRENT ISSUE](#)

[ARCHIVES](#)

[MAKE A SUBMISSION](#)

AUTHOR GUIDELINES

CALL FOR PAPERS

FOLLOW US

MOST READ LAST WEEK

- 'To what extent does Amazon.com, Inc success be accredited to its organizational culture and ND Jeff Bezos's leadership style?

212

- Effect of Work Discipline and Work Environment on Employee Performance with Work Motivation as an Intervening Variable in Department of Tourism, Youth and Sport of Padang District

174

- Strategy Implementation: Mckinsey's 7s Framework Configuration And Performance Of Large Supermarkets In Nairobi, Kenya

151

- Strategic importance of functional level strategies as effective tools for the achievement of organizational goals

91

- Business Ethics & Values in Multinational Companies Operating in India: An Innovative Approach

86

INDEXING



CURRENT ISSUE

Archives of Business Research (ISSN 2054-7404)

Services for Science and Education, United Kingdom



The Impact of Organization culture and Work Environment on Employee Engagement and It's Implication on Employee Performance of The Automotive Industry In Jakarta, Indonesia.

Dr. Machmed Tun Ganyang, S. E., M. M.

Kalbis Institute, Jalan Pulomas Selatan kav. 22, Pulomas,
Jakarta 13210, Indonesia.

ABSTRACT

This study aims to determine and analyze the impact of organization culture , work environment on employee engagementt and it's implications on employee performance. This research uses quantitaive approach. Population of this research is the whole employee of the automotive industry and sample is 86 respondents. The result of this hypothesis test show that (1) Organization culture has a direct, positive, and statistically significant impact on employe engagement; (2)Work environment has a direct, positive and statistically significant on employee engagement; (3) Employee engagement has a direct positive and statistically significant on employee performance.

Keywords: Organization culture, work environment, employee engagement. employee performance, automotive industry.

INTRODUCTION

Any organization have their own organization culture. Organization culture is one of such factor can affect the employee engagement. Organization culture also can affect the employee performance within the company. The company as an organization rely on it's human resources for achieving the company goals. Therefore, role of organization culture on human resources is very important. Schein (1992) devide organization culture into three level, such as (1) Behavior and artifact; (2) Value; (3) Belief. As an automotive manufacturing has organization culture called ICARE, that consits of (1) Integrity; (2) Commitment; (3) Accountability; (3) Respect; (4) Excellent Innovation. In order to implement the organization culture, new employee was introduced to ICARE which is in line with their work activities. Nevertheless the result of the implementation of the organization culture was less in achievement that affect the employee engagement and employee performance. Likewise the implementation of work environment either in term of soft environment, in term of hard environment, still less in achievement, which is affect the employee engagement and employee performance. Some sense of organization culture, as proposed by Nazir and Zamir (2015), organizational culture is the combination of expectations of organization, experiences, philosophy and values. Organizational culture is also called corporate culture. Organizational culture influences performance and productivity of organization. It gives guidelines for quality of product, punctuality, safety and other factor affecting on environment. organizational culture view as a combination of epectation, experiences, philosophy and value. Cameron and Quinn, (2006) in Mohanty, J, and Rath, B, P, (2012) found that it is difficult to find a highly successful company that does not have a distinctive, readily identifiable organizational culture because of its powerful effect on performance and long-term effectiveness. The pressure is the powerfull effect of organization culture on employee performance. Meanwhile, organizational culture as described by Robbins & Coulter in Tsai, Y, (2010) as the shared values, beliefs, or perceptions held by employees within an organization or organizational unit. This sense view the organizationa culture that focused on share activity within organization related to values,

beliefs, and perceptions. Relevant to the prior definition, Salam, A., Q, A, (2002) in Zeyada (2018), said the organizational culture reflects the values that are shared by individuals in an organization, and these values, in turn, will affect the humanitarian aspects of the organization and in the concrete behavior of individuals. This opinion implies the values was shared affect the humatarian aspect of the organization and in concrete behavior of individual. Meanwhile Azizollah, *et al* (2015), said the idea to consider organizations as culture is a system of values shared among the members which is a relatively new phenomenon. This opinion view organozational culture as a system of value shared. While the meaning of the organization culture according to Robbins (2002) in Darsana (2013), organization culture is a system of value share held by members of the organization, which distinguishes of one organization from other organizations. Then, some opinion regarddsing work environment as proposed by Sedarmayanti (2011) said that work environment as the whole tools and materials that is used in the job, include environment around work place, work methode, work arrangements, either as individual or as group. This opinion view work environment as soft environment and hard environment. Work environment as a place where the employees do their job every day. The conducive work environment enable employees do their best. Work environment can impact on employee emotional. Work environment also covered the relationship of staffs and manager or director and physical work environment (Ratana, 2014). This opinion view work environment in a wider meaning. Meanwhile (Sedarmayanti, 2009) in Sanny and Kristanti (2012) said that non physical environment is all the condition occured related to the work relationship of the head and the worker. Work environment will push employee motivation and lead to the improvement of employee creativity. As said by Sedarmayanti (2011) that there are some factors that impact work environment related to employees performance such as : (1) Lighting and light; (2) Temperature; (3) Humidity; (4) Air Circulation; (5) Noise; (6) Smell; (7) Layout color; (8) Decoration; (9) Music; (10) Safety. Those are the factors that impact on hard work environment. Meanwhile the factors impact on soft work environment proposed by Umar (2018), such as : (1) Excellent communication between the head and the staffs and fellow coworkers; (2) Excelent relationship of fellow coworkers; (3) Excellent work arrangement and ability to adapt with the environment. Next, some definitions regarding employee engagement, such as proposed by Kazimoto, P, (2016) said, employee engagement has been perceived as a motivational factor for organization's objectives achievement. The good environment offered to the worker enables him do the best for the organization goals and objectives achievement. This opinion consider employee engagement as a motivational factor which was offered to employee in order to achieve the goal and objective of the organization. While Vaidyanathan, G, Maheswari, T, U, (2016), said that the concept of employee engagement is most widely used in all progressive organizations, it is about how happy employees are with their jobs, or the environment in which they work with their colleagues and how their performance is aligned with the organizational outcomes. This opinion view employee engagement as the happiness of the employees in their jobs and with this condition, their performance will be aligned with organization performance. Meanwhile Lumina (2014) in Kazimoto (2016) argued that employees were engaging in a complex transactions that were structured in such manner in order to improve the financial performance of the organization. There are many aspects of life and not only services that characterised by strong employee engagement. This argumentation has more wide understanding of employee engagement. Employee engagement is known has a positive effect on organisational and individual productivity and performance (Amah & Sese, 2018). This opinion consider the positive effect of employee engagement on organizational and individul performance. Paile, P, *et al* (2013), said that environmental performance reflects an output demonstrating the degree of firms are committed to protecting the natural environment. The opinion of Dinka, D, D, (2018), said that employee performance or job performance is aggregated value to an organization , the set of behaviors that an employee contributes both directly and indirectly to organization goals. This

opinion consider employee performance as a set of contributions of the employees to the organization goals. Furthermore, sense of employee performance as proposed by Nazir, and Zamir (2015) An employee performance based upon the combination of good working skill and work environment. There is need of some sort of motivation to get the good performance of the employees. As the theory of motivation, it can come from remuneration and other incentives.

The work of employee is made up by employee achievement of the organization's mission, that shows the limits of performance (Cascio, 2006). The achievement of objectives of organization has been designed based on employee performance (Richardo, 2001). An employee's achievement when he gains the goals of organization at workplace is called performance (Cascio, 2006). Different researches have identifies different thoughts, attitudes and beliefs of performance as it helps in measurement of input and output effectiveness measures that guide transactional relationship (Stannack, 1996).

Statement of a Problem

Problem formulation of this research are : (1) How is the impact of organization culture on employee engagement; (2) How is the impact of work environment on employee engagement; (3) How is the impact employee engagement on employee performance.

Research Purpose

The research purpose are :

(1) To analyze the impact of organization culture on employee engagement; (2) To analyze the impact of work environment on employee engagement; (3) To analyze the impact of employee engagement on employee performance.

RESEARCH METHOD

Desain and research Framework

The researcher uses descripteve and quantitative method, by describing the status of the object of the research when it's conducted. This study aime to exemine the relationship of three independent variables, such as; (1) organization culture;(2) work environment; (3) employee engagement on one dependent variable (employee performance). Previous research indicates that : (1) There is statistically significant impact of organization culture on employee engagement; (2) There is statistical significant impact of work environment on employee engagement; (3) There is statistically significant impact on employee citzensih on employee performance, which is performance can be measured by the achievement of the employee.

The reseach framework clearly difined on figure 1 below :




Figure 1. Research Framework

Population and Sample

The population of the research is employee of the automotive industry, Jakarta and the sample of the research is the employee of, Jakarta amonted 86 (eighty six) employees.

Hypothesis

This research used hypothesis, such as : (1) Suspected there is positive and statistically significant impact of work culture on employee engagement; (2) Suspected there is a positive and statistically significant impact of work environment on employee engagement; (3) There is positive and statistically significant impact of employee engagement on employee performance. The research hypothesis above reflected by statistical hypothesis as follows : (1) Ho : there is no positive and statistically significant impact of organization culture on employee engagement, H1 : there is a positive and statistically significant impact of organization culture on employee engagement; (2) Ho : there is no positive and statistically significant impact on employee engagement; (2) Ho : There is no positive and statistically significant impact of work environment on employee engagement, H1 : there is a positive and statistically significant impact of work environment on employee engagement; (3) Ho : there is no positive and statistically significant impact of employee engagement on employee performance, H1 : there is a positive and statistically significant impact on employee performance.

In this research author use multiple regression analysis to determine the impact of more than one independent variable on the dependent variable. Processing data using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS 2.4).

Place and Time of Research Conducted

The research conducted at the automotive industry, Jakarta from January up to September Of 2017.

DISCUSSION

Respondent characteristic as mentioned on Table 1. The Characteristic of Respondents, as follows :

Table 1. Respondent Characteristic

Gender	Respondent Amount	Percentage (%)
Men	63	77
Women	23	27
Age		
17-23 years old	13	15
24-30 years old	49	57
31-40 years old	21	24
>40 years old	3	3
Education Background		
Secondary school	0	0
Senior High Scholl	4	5
Diploma/Bachelor Degree	81	94
Master Degree	1	1
Marrital Status		
Single	31	36
Married	55	64
Working Experience		
1-2 years	15	17
3-5 years	31	36
5-10 years	19	22
>10 years	21	24

Based on gender, founded that men is 63 employees equal to 77 % while women 33 employees, equal to 23 %. In term of age, was dominated by the age between 24-30 years old, amounted

49 employees, equal to 57 percent. In the view point of education background was dominated by diploma/bachelor degree, is 81 employees, equal to 94 percent. Based on marital status, dominated by married status, amounted 55 employees, equal to 64 percent, followed by single status amounted 36 employee, equal to 36 percent.

By the view point of working experience dominated by the employee who have being worked for the company between 3 to 5 years, amounted 36 percent.

Discussion

Generally simple regression equation can be represented by $Y=a+bx_1+bx_2+...$. After calculate using SPSS 2.4, it is found the coefficient of regression of sub model 1, as stated on table 2 Coefficient below.

Table 2. Regression Coefficient of sub model 1
Unstandarized Coefficient Standarized Coefficient

Model		B	Standart Error	Beta	t	Sig
1	Constant	19.726	6.128		3.219	.321
	Organization culture	0.119	0.119	0.105	0.999	.002
	Work Environment	0.330	0.083	0.419	3.991	.000

a. Dependent Variable Employee Engagement

From the table above, the regression equation will be $Y=19.726 + 0.119X_1 + 0.330X_2$. The constant figure of unstandarized coefficient is 19.726, it means if organization culture and work environment value is 0, the employee engagement value is 19.726. Then the organization culture coefficient 0.119, means organization if the other independent variable is constant, if organization culture increase one level so employee engagement coefficient will increase as 0.119. The work environment coefficient as 0.330, it is mean if other independent variable is constant, so if work environment increase one level so employee will increase as 0.330. It can be concluded that work environment impact on employee engagement statsically significant.

Calculation of regression coefficient of sub model 2, generate the regression coefficient, as stated on table 3.

Table 3. Regression Coefficient of Sub Model 2
Unstandarized Coefficient Standarized Coefficient

Model		B	Standart Error	Beta	t	Sig
1	Constant	25.081	4,987		5.030	.000
	Organization culture	-0.071	0.092	-.077	-0.769	0.444
	Work Environment	0.101	0.069	0.158	1.433	0.150
	Employee Engagement	0.325	0.84	0.403	3.857	0.000

Dependent Variable : Employee Performance

The result of calculation of coefficient regression of sub structure 2, found the value of constant 25.081, means if organization culture, work environment, and employee engagement value is 0, so employee performance will be 25.081. Then regeression coefficient of organization

culture is -0.071, indicates there no statistically significant impact of organization culture on employee performance, simultantly. Then regression coefficient of work environment is 0.101, it's mean that there is no statistically significant impact of work environment on employee performance, simultantly. The regression of employee engagement is 0.325, indicates that there is statistically significant impact of employee engagement on employee performance. It means, if the other independent variable constant, the if employee engagement increase one level so, employee performance will increase 0.325. According to the calculation result of multiple regression coefficient, can be conclude that employee engagement has an impact a statistically significant impact on employee performance. It is also found that the biggest value of t_{count} is t_{count} of employee engagement 3.857. The calculation of adjusted R square generate figure of 2.50. This figure has a meaning that the impact of organization culture and work environment on employee engagement and their implication on employee performance is 25 percent. The rest 75 percent was impacted by the others.

CONCLUSION

Based on the hypothesis testing by compare t_{count} and t_{tabel} , if $t_{count} > t_{tabel}$ it can be concluded that there is a significant impact. Based on the calculation it's found that (1) organization culture's t_{count} is -0.769 less than t_{tabel} 1.989, it's can be concluded that there is no statistically significant impact of organization culture on employee engagement; (2) work environment's t_{count} is 1.433 less than t_{tabel} 1.989, thus it's can be conclude that there is no statistically significant impact of work environment on employee engagement; (3) employee engagement's t_{count} is 3.857 greater than t_{tabel} amounted 1.989. so it's can be concluded there is a statistically significant impact of employee engagement on employee performance.

Since there is a finding that employee engagement has a statistically significant impact of employee performance, so if the company want to increase employee performance it can be done improve employee engagement. This finding in line with previous theoretical study and previous research.

Reference

- Amah, O, E, (2018), Employee engagement in Nigeria: The role of leaders and boundary variables, African Online Scientific Information Systems; Vol. 44 (2018).
- Azizollah, *et al* (), The Relationship Between Organizational Culture and Organizational Commitment in Zahedan University of Medical Sciences. *Globsl Journal Health and Science*, Published online 2015 Dec 15. doi: [10.5539/gjhs.v8n7p195](https://doi.org/10.5539/gjhs.v8n7p195).
- Darsana, M, (2013), The Influence Of Personality And Organizational Culture On Employee Performance Through Organizational Citizenship Behavior, *The International Journal Of Management*,; Vol. 2, issue 4, page 36.
- Dinka, D, D, (2018), Organizational Citizenship Behaviour and Employees' Performance Assessment: The Case of Dire Dawa University. *American Journal of Theoretical and Applied Business*; Volume 4, Issue 1, March 2018, Pages: 15-26.
- Kazimoto, P, (2016), Employee Engagement and Organizational Performance of Retails Enterprises, *Scientific Research*; Vol. 6, No 4.
- Mohanty, J, Rath, B, P, (2012), Influence Of Organizational Culture On Organizational Citizenship Behavior: A, Three-Sector Study *global Journal Of Business Research*; Vol. 6, No. 1.
- Nazir, N, Zamir, S, (2015) Impact of Organizational Culture on Employee's Performance, *Industrial Engineering Letters* www.iiste.org, ISSN 2224-6096 (Paper) ISSN 2225-0581 (online), Vol.5, No.9, 2015.
- Paile, P, *et al* (2013), The Impact of Human Resource Management on Environmental Performance: An Employee-Level Study. Springer Science+Business Media Dordrecht 2013.
- Ratana, A.S. (2014). Pengaruh Alur Kerja Dan Lingkungan Kerja Pada PT. Minamas Gemilang Kantor Pusat Jakarta Dampaknya Terhadap Kepuasan Kerja Karyawan. Tesis Magister Ilmu Manajemen Pada Universitas

Katolik Indonesia Atma Jaya. Jakarta (Free translation : The Impact of Work flow and Work Environment at PT. Minamas Gemilang Head Office on Employee Job Satisfaction, Thesis Magister Management of Atmajaya Catholic University, Jakarta)

Sanny, L., & Kristanti, S. (2012). Pengaruh Lingkungan Kerja dan Job Insecurity Terhadap Motivasi Kerja dan Dampaknya Pada Kinerja Karyawan Outsourcing Mall Lippo Cikarang (Free Translation, The Effect of Work Environment and Job Insecurity on Outsourcing Employee Performance of Lippo Cikarang Mall). *Binus Business Review*, Vol. 3, 61-69.

Sedarmayanti. (2011). *Tata Kerja dan Produktivitas Kerja Cetakan Ke-3*. Bandung: Mandar Maju.

Tsai, Y. (2010), Relationship between Organizational Culture, Leadership Behavior and Job Satisfaction, *BMC Health Services Research*<https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6963-11-98>

Umar, H. (2008). *Metode Penelitian Untuk Skripsi dan Tesis Bisnis*. Jakarta: Rajagrafindo Persada (Free translation Research Method of Business Minithesis and Thesis. Jakarta)

Zeyada, M. (2018), Organizational Culture and it's Impact on Organizational Citizenship Behavior, *International Journal of Academic Research of Business and Social Science*, <https://dx.doi.org/10.6007/IJARBS/v8-13/3939>.

Vaidyanathan, G, Maheswari, T, U, (2016), Employee Engagement: A Literature Review, *International Journal of Human Resource Management and Research (IJHRMR)* ISSN(P): 2249-6874; ISSN(E): 2249-7986 Vol. 6, Issue 2, page 21-3

Machmed Tun Ganyang1

by Machmed Tun Ganyang

FILE	RTICLE_TEXT-18061-1-10-20190910.PDF- THE_IMPACT_OF_OC,_WE,_EE.PDF (204.79K)		
TIME SUBMITTED	07-DEC-2020 12:05PM (UTC+0700)	WORD COUNT	3359
SUBMISSION ID	1467097363	CHARACTER COUNT	19210



The Impact of Organization culture and Work Environment on Employee Engagement and It's Implication on Employee Performance of The Automotive Industry In Jakarta, Indonesia.

Dr. Machmed Tun Ganyang, S. E., M. M.

Kalbis Institute, Jalan Pulomas Selatan kav. 22, Pulomas,
Jakarta 13210, Indonesia.

ABSTRACT

This study aims to determine and analyze the impact of organization culture , work environment on employee engagementt and it's implications on employee performance. This research uses quantitaive approach. Population of this research is the whole employee of the automotive industry and sample is 76 respondents. The result of this hypothesis test show that (1) Organization culture has a direct, positive and statistically significant impact on employe engagement; (2) Work environment has a direct, positive and statistically significant on employee engagement; (3) Employee engagement has a direct positive and statistically significant on employee performance.

Keywords: Organization culture, work environment, employee engagement. employee performance, automotive industry.

INTRODUCTION

Any organization have their own organization culture. Organization culture is one of such factor can affect the employee engagement. Organization culture also can affect the employee performance within the company. The company as an organization rely on it's human resources for achieving the company goals. Therefore, role of organization culture on human resources is very important. Schein (1992) devide organization culture into three level, such as (1) Behavior and artifact; (2) Value; (3) Belief. As an automotive manufacturing has organization culture called ICARE, that consits of (1) Integrity; (2) Commitment; (3) Accountability; (3) Respect; (4) Excellent Innovation. In order to implement the organization culture, new employee was introduced to ICARE which is in line with their work activities. Nevertheless the result of the implementation of the organization culture was less in achievement that affect the employee engagement and employee performance. Likewise the implementation of work environment either in term of soft environment, in term of hard environment, still less in achievement, which is affect the employee engagement and employee performance. Some sense of organization culture, as proposed by Nazir and Zamir (2015), organizational culture is the combination of expectations of organization, experiences, philosophy and values. Organizational culture is also called corporate culture. Organizational culture influences performance and productivity of organization. It gives guidelines for quality of product, punctuality, safety and other factor affecting on environment. organizational culture view as a combination of epectation, experiences, philosophy and value. Cameron and Quinn, (2006) in Mohanty, J, and Rath, B, P, (2012) found that it is difficult to find a highly successful company that does not have a distinctive, readily identifiable organizational culture because of its powerful effect on performance and long-term effectiveness. The assurance is the powerful effect of organization culture on employee performance. Meanwhile, organizational culture as described by Robbins & Coulter in Tsai, Y, (2010) as the shared values, beliefs, or perceptions held by employees within an organization or organizational unit. This sense view the organizationa culture that focused on share activity within organization related to values,

beliefs, and perceptions. Relevant to the prior definition, Salam, A., Q, A, (2002) in Zeyada (2018), said the organizational culture reflects the values that are shared by individuals in an organization, and these values, in turn, will affect the humanitarian aspects of the organization and in the concrete behavior of individuals. This opinion implies the values was shared affect the humanitarian aspect of the organization and in concrete behavior of individual. Meanwhile Azizollah, *et al* (2015), said the idea to consider organizations as culture is a system of values shared among the members which is a relatively new phenomenon. This opinion view organozational culture as a system of value shared. While the meaning of the organization culture according to Robbins (2002) in Darsana (2013), organization culture is a system of value share held by members of the organization, which distinguishes of one organization from other organizations. Then, some opinion regarddsing work environment as proposed by Sedarmayanti (2011) said that work environment as the whole tools and materials that is used in the job, include environment around work place, work method, work arrangements, either as individual or as group. This opinion view work environment as soft environment and hard environment. Work environment as a place where the employees do their job every day. The conducive work environment enable employees do their best. Work environment can impact on employee emotional. Work environment also covered the relationship of staffs and manager or director and physical work environment (Ratana, 2014). This opinion view work environment in a wider meaning. Meanwhile (Sedarmayanti, 2009) in Sanny and Kristanti (2012) said that non physical environment is all the condition occured related to the work relationship of the head and the worker. Work environment will push employee motivation and lead to the improvement of employee creativity. As said by Sedarmayanti (2011) that there are some factors that impact work environment related to employees performance such as : (1) Lighting and light; (2) Temperature; (3) Humidity; (4) Air Circulation; (5) Noise; (6) Smell; (7) Layout color; (8) Decoration; (9) Music; (10) Safety. Those are the factors that impact on hard work environment. Meanwhile the factors impact on soft work environment proposed by Umar (2018), such as : (1) Excellent communication between the head and the staffs and fellow coworkers; (2) Excelent relationship of fellow coworkers; (3) Excellent work arrangement and ability to adapt with the environment. Next, some definitions regarding employee engagement, such as proposed by Kazimoto, P, (2016) said, employee engagement has been perceived as a motivational factor for organization's objectives achievement. The good environment offered to the worker enables him do the best for the organization goals and objectives achievement. This opinion consider employee engagement as a motivational factor which was offered to employee in order to achieve the goal and objective of the organization. While Vaidyanathan, G, Maheswari, T, U, (2016), said that the concept of employee engagement is most widely used in all progressive organizations, it is about how happy employees are with their jobs, or the environment in which they work with their colleagues and how their performance is aligned with the organizational outcomes. This opinion view employee engagement as the happiness of the employees in their jobs and with this condition, their performance will be aligned with organization performance. Meanwhile Lumina (2014) in Kazimoto (2016) argued that employees were engaging in a complex transactions that were structured in such manner in order to improve the financial performance of the organization. There are many aspects of life and not only services that characterised by strong employee engagement. This argumentation has more wide understanding of employee engagement. Employee engagement is known has a positive effect on organisational and individual productivity and performance (Amah & Sese, 2018). This opinion consider the positive effect of employee engagement on organizational and individual performance. Paile, P, *et al* (2013), said that environmental performance reflects an output demonstrating the degree of firms are committed to protecting the natural environment. The opinion of Dinka, D, D, (2018), said that employee performance or job performance is aggregated value to an organization , the set of behaviors that an employee contributes both directly and indirectly to organization goals. This

opinion consider employee performance as a set of contributions of the employees to the organization goals. Furthermore, sense of employee performance as proposed by Nazir, and Zamir (2015) An employee performance based upon the combination of good working skill and work environment. There is need of some sort of motivation to get the good performance of the employees. As the theory of motivation, it can come from remuneration and other incentives.

The work of employee is made up by employee achievement of the organization's mission, that shows the limits of performance (Cascio, 2006). The achievement of objectives organization has been designed based on employee performance (Richardo, 2001). An employee's achievement when he gains the goals of organization at workplace is called performance (Cascio, 2006). Different researches have identifies different thoughts, attitudes and beliefs of performance as it helps in measurement of input and output effectiveness measures that guide transactional relationship (Stannack, 1996).

Statement of a Problem

Problem formulation of this research are : (1) How is the impact of organization culture on employee engagement; (2) How is the impact of work environment on employee engagement; (3) How is the impact employee engagement on employee performance.

Research Purpose

The research purpose are :

(1) To analyze the impact of organization culture on employee engagement; (2) To analyze the impact of work environment on employee engagement; (3) To analyze the impact of employee engagement on employee performance.

RESEARCH METHOD

Desain and research Framework

The researcher uses descriptive and quantitative method, by describing the status of the object of the research when it's conducted. This study aims to examine the relationship of three independent variables, such as; (1) organization culture; (2) work environment; (3) employee engagement on one dependent variable (employee performance). Previous research indicates that : (1) There is statistical significant impact of organization culture on employee engagement; (2) There is statistical significant impact of work environment on employee engagement; (3) There is statistically significant impact on employee citizenship on employee performance, which is performance can be measured by the achievement of the employee.

The research framework clearly defined on figure 1 below :




Figure 1. Research Framework

Population and Sample

The population of the research is employee of the automotive industry, Jakarta and the sample of the research is the employee of, Jakarta amounted 86 (eighty six) employees.

Hypothesis

This research used hypothesis, such as : (1) Suspected there is positive and statistically significant impact of work culture on employee engagement; (2) Suspected there is a positive and statistically significant impact of work environment on employee engagement; (3) There is positive and statistically significant impact of employee engagement on employee performance. The research hypothesis above reflected by statistical hypothesis as follows : (1) Ho : there is no positive and statistically significant impact of organization culture on employee engagement, H1 : there is a positive and statistically significant impact of organization culture on employee engagement; (2) Ho : there is no positive and statistically significant impact on employee engagement; (3) Ho : There is no positive and statistically significant impact of work environment on employee engagement, H1 : there is a positive and statistically significant impact of work environment on employee engagement; (3) Ho : there is no positive and statistically significant impact of employee engagement on employee performance, H1 : there is a positive and statistically significant impact on employee performance.

In this research author use multiple regression analysis to determine the impact of more than one independent variable on the dependent variable. Processing data using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS 2.4).

Place and Time of Research Conducted

The research conducted at the automotive industry, Jakarta from January up to September Of 2017.

DISCUSSION

Respondent characteristic as mentioned on Table 1. The Characteristic of Respondents, as follows :

Table 1. Respondent Characteristic

Gender	Respondent Amount	Percentage (%)
Men	63	77
Women	23	27
Age		
17-23 years old	13	15
24-30 years old	49	57
31-40 years old	21	24
>40 years old	3	3
Education Background		
Secondary school	0	0
Senior High Scholl	4	5
Diploma/Bachelor Degree	81	94
Master Degree	1	1
Marrital Status		
Single	31	36
Married	55	64
Working Experience		
1-2 years	15	17
3-5 years	31	36
5-10 years	19	22
>10 years	21	24

Based on gender, founded that men is 63 employees equal to 77 % while women 33 employees, equal to 23 %. In term of age, was dominated by the age between 24-30 years old, amounted

49 employees, equal to 57 percent. In the view point of education background was dominated by diploma/bachelor degree, is 81 employees, equal to 94 percent. Based on marital status, dominated by married status, amounted 55 employees, equal to 64 percent, followed by single status amounted 36 employee, equal to 36 percent.

By the view point of working experience dominated by the employee who have being worked for the company between 3 to 5 years, amounted 36 percent.

Discussion

Generally simple regression equation can be represented by $Y=a+bx_1+bx_2+...$. After calculate using SPSS 2.4, it is found the coefficient of regression of sub model 1, as stated on table 2 Coefficient below.

Table 2. Regression Coefficient of sub model 1

Unstandarized Coefficient Standarized Coefficient

Model		B	Standart Error	Beta	t	Sig
1	Constant	19.726	6.128		3.219	.321
	Organization culture	0.119	0.119	0.105	0.999	.002
	Work Environment	0.330	0.083	0.419	3.991	.000

a. Dependent Variable Employee Engagement

From the table above, the regression equation will be $Y=19.726 + 0.119X_1 + 0.330X_2$. The constant figure of unstandarized coefficient is 19.726, it means if organization culture and work environment value is 0, the employee engagement value is 19.726. Then the organization culture coefficient 0.119, means organization if the other independent variable is constant, if organization culture increase one level so employee engagement coefficient will increase as 0.119. The work environment coefficient as 0.330, it is mean if other independent variable is constant, so if work environment increase one level so employee will increase as 0.330. It can be concluded that work environment impact on employee engagement statically significant.

Calculation of regression coefficient of sub model 2, generate the regression coefficient, as stated on table 3.

Table 3. Regression Coefficient of Sub Model 2

Unstandarized Coefficient Standarized Coefficient

Model		B	Standart Error	Beta	t	Sig
1	Constant	25.081	4,987		5.030	.000
	Organization culture	-0.071	0.092	-.077	-0.769	0.444
	Work Environment	0.101	0.069	0.158	1.433	0.150
	Employee Engagement	0.325	0.84	0.403	3.857	0.000

Dependent Variable : Employee Performance

The result of calculation of coefficient regression of sub structure 2, found the value of constant 25.081, means if organization culture, work environment, and employee engagement value is 0, so employee performance will be 25.081. Then regression coefficient of organization

culture is -0.071, indicates there no statistically significant impact of organization culture on employee performance, simultantly. Then regression coefficient of work environment is 0.101, it's mean that there is no statistically significant impact of work environment on employee performance, simultantly. The regression of employee engagement is 0.325, indicates that there is statistically significant impact of employee engagement on employee performance. It means, if the other independent variable constant, the if employee engagement increase one level so, employee performance will increase 0.325. According to the calculation result of multiple regression coefficient, can be conclude that employee engagement has an impact a statistically significant impact on employee performance. It is also found that the biggest value of t_{count} is t_{count} of employee engagement 3.857. The calculation of adjusted R square generate figure of 2.50. This figure has a meaning that the impact of organization culture and work environment on employee engagement and their implication on employee performance is 25 percent. The rest 75 percent was impacted by the others.

CONCLUSION

Based on the hypothesis testing by compare t_{count} and t_{tabel} , if $t_{count} > t_{tabel}$ it can be concluded that there is a significant impact. Based on the calculation it's found that (1) organization culture's t_{count} is -0.769 less than t_{tabel} 1.989, it's can be concluded that there is no statistically significant impact of organization culture on employee engagement; (2) work environment's t_{count} is 1.433 less than t_{tabel} 1.989, thus it's can be conclude that there is no statistically significant impact of work environment on employee engagement; (3) employee engagement's t_{count} is 3.857 greater than t_{tabel} amounted 1.989. so it's can be concluded there is a statistically significant impact of employee engagement on employee performance.

Since there is a finding that employee engagement has a statistically significant impact of employee performance, so if the company want to increase employee performance it can be done improve employee engagement. This finding in line with previous theoretical study and previous research.

Reference

- Amah, O, E, (2018), Employee engagement in Nigeria: The role of leaders and boundary variables, African Online Scientific Information Systems; Vol. 44 (2018).
- Azizollah, *et al* (), The Relationship Between Organizational Culture and Organizational Commitment in Zahedan University of Medical Sciences. *Globsl Journal Health and Science*, Published online 2015 Dec 15. doi: [10.5539/gjhs.v8n7p195](https://doi.org/10.5539/gjhs.v8n7p195).
- Darsana, M, (2013), The Influence Of Personality And Organizational Culture On Employee Performance Through Organizational Citizenship Behavior, *The International Journal Of Management*; Vol. 2, issue 4, page 36.
- Dinka, D, D, (2018), Organizational Citizenship Behaviour and Employees' Performance Assessment: The Case of Dire Dawa University. *American Journal of Theoretical and Applied Business*; Volume 4, Issue 1, March 2018, Pages: 15-26.
- Kazimoto, P, (2016), Employee Engagement and Organizational Performance of Retails Enterprises, *Scientific Research*; Vol. 6, No 4.
- Mohanty, J, Rath, B, P, (2012), Influence Of Organizational Culture On Organizational Citizenship Behavior: A, Three-Sector Study *global Journal Of Business Research*; Vol. 6, No. 1.
- Nazir, N, Zamir, S, (2015) Impact of Organizational Culture on Employee's Performance, *Industrial Engineering Letters* www.iiste.org, ISSN 2224-6096 (Paper) ISSN 2225-0581 (online), Vol.5, No.9, 2015.
- Paile, P, *et al* (2013), *The Impact of Human Resource Management on Environmental Performance: An Employee-Level Study*. Springer Science+Business Media Dordrecht 2013.
- Ratana, A.S. (2014). Pengaruh Alur Kerja Dan Lingkungan Kerja Pada PT. Minamas Gemilang Kantor Pusat Jakarta Dampaknya Terhadap Kepuasan Kerja Karyawan. Tesis Magister Ilmu Manajemen Pada Universitas

Ganyang, M. T. (2019). The Impact of Organization culture and Work Environment on Employee Engagement and It's Implication on Employee Performance of The Automotive Industry In Jakarta, Indonesia. *Archives of Business Research*, 7(9), 64-70.

Katolik Indonesia Atma Jaya. Jakarta (Free translation : The Impact of Work flow and Work Environment at PT. Minamas Gemilang Head Office on Employee Job Satisfaction, Thesis Magister Management of Atmajaya Catholic University, Jakarta)

Sanny, L., & Kristanti, S. (2012). Pengaruh Lingkungan Kerja dan Job Insecurity Terhadap Motivasi Kerja dan Dampaknya Pada Kinerja Karyawan Outsourcing Mall Lippo Cikarang (Free Translation, The Effect of Work Environment and Job Insecurity on Outsourcing Employee Performance of Lippo Cikarang Mall). *Binus Business Review*, Vol. 3, 61-69.

Sedarmayanti. (2011). *Tata Kerja dan Produktivitas Kerja Cetakan Ke-3*. Bandung: Mandar Maju.

Tsai, Y. (2010). Relationship between Organizational Culture, Leadership Behavior and Job Satisfaction, BMC Health Services Research <https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6963-11-98>

Umar, H. (2008). *Metode Penelitian Untuk Skripsi dan Tesis Bisnis*. Jakarta: Rajagrafindo Persada (Free translation Research Method of Business Minithesis and Thesis. Jakarta)

Zeyada, M, (2018), Organizational Culture and it's Impact on Organizational Citizenship Behavior, International Journal of Academic Research of Business and Social Science, <https://dx.doi.org/10.6007/IJARBS/v8-13/3939>.

Vaidyanathan, G, Maheswari, T, U, (2016), Employee Engagement: A Literature Review, International Journal of Human Resource Management and Research (IJHRMR) ISSN(P): 2249-6874; ISSN(E): 2249-7986 Vol. 6, Issue 2, page 21-3

ORIGINALITY REPORT

% **23**

SIMILARITY INDEX

% **13**

INTERNET SOURCES

% **11**

PUBLICATIONS

% **16**

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

- 1** www.scirp.org
Internet Source %2
- 2** Submitted to Leeds Metropolitan University
Student Paper %2
- 3** Submitted to nyack college
Student Paper %2
- 4** Massad , Hamzah Mustafa Amin. "The Role of Lean Six Sigma in Quality Improvement in the Jordanian Private Sector : An Analytical Study = دورالحيود السداسي الرشيقية في تحسين الجودة في القطاع الخاص دراسة تحليلية", The World Sciences & Education University
Publication %1
- 5** Submitted to Arab Open University
Student Paper %1
- 6** Submitted to Ohio University
Student Paper %1
- 7** Almudena Martínez-Campillo, Yolanda Fernández-Santos. "The impact of the economic %1

crisis on the (in)efficiency of public Higher Education institutions in Southern Europe: The case of Spanish universities", Socio-Economic Planning Sciences, 2020

Publication

8	techchronicle.in Internet Source	% 1
9	digitalcommons.unl.edu Internet Source	% 1
10	www.iiste.org Internet Source	% 1
11	link.springer.com Internet Source	% 1
12	Submitted to Mountbatten Internship Program Student Paper	% 1
13	Submitted to whu Student Paper	% 1
14	sajip.co.za Internet Source	% 1
15	Submitted to Anglia Ruskin University Student Paper	% 1
16	Submitted to University of Wales Institute, Cardiff Student Paper	% 1

17

Submitted to Billy Blue Group

Student Paper

% 1

18

mafiadoc.com

Internet Source

% 1

19

Submitted to Universitas Jenderal Soedirman

Student Paper

% 1

20

journals.scholarpublishing.org

Internet Source

<% 1

21

www.orchidkarma.com

Internet Source

<% 1

22

Forestiere, Carolyn. "Beginning Research in Political Science", Oxford University Press

Publication

<% 1

23

Abhilasha Singh. "Association between organizational norms and employee productivity in higher education", Journal of Applied Research in Higher Education, 2019

Publication

<% 1

24

Lanier, Mark M.. "Research Methods in Crime, Justice, and Social Problems", Oxford University Press

Publication

<% 1

25

bmchealthservres.biomedcentral.com

Internet Source

<% 1

EXCLUDE QUOTES ON

EXCLUDE MATCHES OFF

EXCLUDE
BIBLIOGRAPHY ON